arXiv:math/0701847v1 [math.DG] 29 Jan 2007

EXTRINSIC EIGENVALUE ESTIMATES OF THE DIRAC OPERATOR

DAGUANG CHEN

Abstract. For a compact spin manifold M isometrically embedded into Euclidean space, we derive the extrinsic estimates from above and below for eigenvalues of the Dirac operators, which depend on the second fundamental form of the embedding. We also show the bounds of the ratio of the eigenvalues.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Laplacian operator and the Dirac operator are fundamental differential operators. The estimates of their eigenvalues are important in geometry, analysis and physics. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be the bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Consider the eigenvalue problem of the Laplacian

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = \xi u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where Δ is the positive Laplacian in $\mathbb{R}^n$. It is well known that this problem has a real and purely discrete spectrum

$$0 < \xi_1 < \xi_2 \leq \xi_3 \cdots \longrightarrow \infty.$$

In 1956, using the technique of test-function, Payne, Pòlya and Weinberger [14] proved,

$$\xi_{k+1} - \xi_k \leq \frac{4}{nk} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \xi_i. \tag{1.2}$$

In 1991, Yang [15, 7, 8] obtained very sharp inequality, that is, he derived

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} (\xi_{k+1} - \xi_i)(\xi_{k+1} - (1 + \frac{4}{n})\xi_i) \leq 0. \tag{1.3}$$

From the inequality (1.3), we can obtain

$$\xi_{k+1} \leq \frac{1}{k}(1 + \frac{4}{n}) \sum_{i=1}^{k} \xi_i. \tag{1.4}$$

The inequalities (1.3) and (1.4) are called Yang's first inequality and second inequality, respectively. For the above inequalities, we have the following relations

$$(1.3) \Longrightarrow (1.4) \Longrightarrow (1.2).$$

Date: 29 Jan-2007.

Key words and phrases. eigenvalue, Dirac operator, Yang-type inequality, test spinor.

For the details, we refer to ([2, 3]) by M.S.Ashbaugh. When M is an n-dimensional compact manifold, there are the similar results about the eigenvalue estimates for the Laplacian (see, e.g.[12, 7]).

It is quite interesting to study the analogous estimates for the Dirac operator. Let M be a compact connected n-dimension Riemannian manifold isometrically embedded into Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^N$ for some N. Estimates from above for the eigenvalues of the Dirac operator can be attained in various ways(see e.g.[1, 4, 5, 6, 9]). In 1991, N.Anhgel [1] obtained the analogous estimate of (1.2) for the Dirac operator. In the present paper, by constructing suitable test spinors and considering the corresponding Rayleigh quotients we obtain Yang-type inequalities in term of the geometric data of Riemannian manifold. Moreover, we obtain the lower bounds of eigenvalues for the square of the Dirac operator. Finally, as an application of *theorem 4.1* in [8], a combination of *Theorem 3.4* with *Theorem 4.2* yields the bounds of the ratio μ_{k+1}/μ_1, where $\mu_i = \lambda_i + \frac{1}{4}\sup\limits_M h^2$, $\{\lambda_i\}_{1\le i\le\infty}$ are the eigenvalues for the square of the Dirac operator.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let M be an n-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold and let $x = (x^1, \cdots, x^N) : M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ be an isometric embedding of M in $\mathbb{R}^N$. For a fixed point $x \in M$ and an orthonomal basis $\{e_i, e_\alpha\}$ with e_i tangent to M and e_α normal to M for $1 \le i \le n, n+1 \le \alpha \le n$. Then we have the structure equation

$$\begin{aligned} &dx = \omega^i e_i, \qquad \omega^\alpha = 0, \\ &de_i = \omega_i^j e_j + \omega_i^\alpha e_\alpha, \qquad \omega_i^\alpha = h_{ij}^\alpha \omega^j, \\ &de_\alpha = \omega_\alpha^j e_j + \omega_\alpha^\beta e_\beta, \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where h_{ij}^α is components of the second fundamental form, ω_j^i is the connection form, ω_α^β is the normal connection form.
One can infer that, pointwise on M

$$\sum_{p=1}^N |\mathrm{grad} x^p|^2 = n. \tag{2.2}$$

Let $dx = x_i\omega^i$, comparing the first line of (2.1), we have $x_i = e_i$. From the structure equation (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_{ij}\omega^j &= dx_i - x_j\omega_i^j \\ &= de_i - \omega_i^j e_j \\ &= \omega_i^j e_j + \omega_i^\alpha e_\alpha - \omega_i^j e_j \\ &= h_{ij}^\alpha e_\alpha \omega^j. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\Delta x = -\sum h_{ii}^\alpha e_\alpha = -\mathbf{H}. \tag{2.3}$$

where $\mathbf{H} = \sum h_{ii}^{\alpha} e_{\alpha}$ is the mean curvature vector field of M, Δ is the positive Laplacian. We denote by $H = \frac{1}{n}|\mathbf{H}|$ the mean curvature function. Since the ambient space is Euclidean space, we have

$$S = n^2 H^2 - h^2 \tag{2.4}$$

where $h^2 = \sum_{i,j,\alpha}(h_{ij}^{\alpha})^2$, S is the scalar curvature of M.

Now we review the following basic facts in [13] or in [9]. Let $\$$ be a Dirac bundle over the Riemannian manifold M. This means that $\$$ is a bundle of left modules over $Cl(M)$ together with a Riemannian metric and connection on $\$$ having the following properties:

- $< e\sigma_1, \sigma_2 > + < \sigma_1, e\sigma_2 >= 0$,
- $\nabla(\varphi\sigma) = \nabla\varphi\sigma + \varphi\nabla\sigma$,

for $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma \in \Gamma(\$), \varphi \in \Gamma(Cl(M)), e \in \Gamma(TM)$, where $Cl(M)$ is the Clifford bundle over M, the covariant derivative on $\$$ also denote by ∇.

The Dirac operator is a first order elliptic differential operator $D : \Gamma(\$) \longrightarrow \Gamma(\$)$, which is locally given by

$$D = \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i \nabla_i.$$

For $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$ and $\sigma \in \Gamma(\$)$, we get

$$D(f\sigma) = \mathrm{grad}(f)\sigma + fD\sigma \tag{2.5}$$

$$D^2(f\sigma) = \Delta(f)\sigma - 2\nabla_{\mathrm{grad}(f)}\sigma + fD^2\sigma, \tag{2.6}$$

where Δ is the positive scalar Laplacian. For the Dirac operator D, one has the general Bochner identity

$$D^2 = \nabla^*\nabla + \mathfrak{R}, \tag{2.7}$$

where $\mathfrak{R}$ is the curvature morphism acting on Dirac bundle $\$$, which is given by

$$\mathfrak{R} = \sum_{i<j} e_i e_j \mathcal{R}_{e_i,e_j},$$

$$\mathcal{R}_{e_i,e_j} = [\nabla_i, \nabla_j] - \nabla_{[e_i,e_j]}.$$

There exist two basic cases of the Dirac bundles:

- The Clifford bundle $Cl(M)$. The Dirac operator in this case is a square root of the classical Hodge Laplacian.
- The spinor bundles. Suppose M is spin manifold with the spin structure on its tangent bundle. Let $\mathbb{S}$ be any spinor bundle associated to tangent bundle. Then $\mathbb{S}$ carries a canonical Riemannian connection. In this case, D is the classical Dirac operator (also Atiyah-Singer operator) and $\mathfrak{R} = \frac{1}{4}S$, where S is the scalar curvature of M.

3. UPPER BOUNDS

It is well known that the Dirac operator D of any Dirac bundle is self-adjoint and elliptic on the compact manifold. Therefore, D^2 has a discrete spectrum contained in $\mathbb{R}$ numbered like

$$0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \cdots \nearrow \infty.$$

and one can find an orthonormal basis $\{\varphi_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ of $L^2(\$)$ consisting of eigenfunctions of D^2 (i.e. $D^2\varphi_j = \lambda_j\varphi_j, j \in \mathbb{N}$). Such a system $\{\lambda_j; \varphi_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ is called a *spectral decomposition* of $L^2(\$)$ generated by D^2, or, in short, a *spectral resolution* of D^2. In the following, we want to estimate the eigenvalue λ_{k+1} in terms of the previous ones, $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \cdots, \lambda_k$, their eigenspinors $\varphi_1, \cdots, \varphi_k$, the immersion x, and the curvature term $\mathfrak{R}$.

In the present paper, we denote $(\cdot,\cdot) = \Re\int_M <\cdot,\cdot>$ on Dirac bundle $\$$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let M be a compact Riemannian manifold of dimension n and $x = (x^1, \cdots, x^N) : M \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ be an isometric embedding with mean curvature function H. Let D be the Dirac operator of any Dirac bundle $\$$ over M and let $\{\lambda_j; \varphi_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a spectral resolution of D^2. Then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_i)^2 \leq \frac{4}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_i)\left(\lambda_i + \frac{1}{4}\Big(n^2(H^2\varphi_i,\varphi_i) - 4\big(\mathfrak{R}\varphi_i,\varphi_i\big)\Big)\right) \tag{3.1}$$

Moreover, if $(\mathfrak{R}\varphi_i,\varphi_i) \geq R$ for some $R \in \mathbb{R}$, denoting $\eta_i = \lambda_i + \frac{1}{4}n^2\sup\limits_M H^2 - R$, then we have the following Yang-type inequality

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\eta_{k+1}-\eta_i)\Big(\eta_{k+1} - (1+\frac{4}{n})\eta_i\Big) \leq 0. \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. Since $\{\lambda_j; \varphi_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a spectral resolution of D^2, $\int < \varphi_i, \varphi_j >= \delta_{ij}$ implies $(\varphi_i,\varphi_j) = \delta_{ij}$, for $\forall\ i, j \in \mathbb{N}$. Letting $g = x^p, 1 \leq p \leq N$ and for $1 \leq i, j \leq k$, we take

$$\begin{cases} a_{ij} = (g\varphi_i, \varphi_j), \\ \psi_i = g\varphi_i - \displaystyle\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{ij}\varphi_j, \\ b_{ij} = (\varphi_i, \dfrac{1}{2}\Delta g\varphi_j - \nabla_{\mathrm{grad}(g)}\varphi_j). \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

Then one can infer $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$, $(\psi_i, \varphi_j) = 0, \quad$ for $\quad j = 1, \cdots, k$.
According to Green's formula and (2.6), we infer

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_i a_{ij} &= (gD^2\varphi_i, \varphi_j) \\ &= (\varphi_i, D^2(g\varphi_j)) \\ &= (\varphi_i, \Delta g\varphi_j - 2\nabla_{\mathrm{grad}(g)}\varphi_j + gD^2\varphi_j) \\ &= \lambda_j a_{ij} + 2(\varphi_i, \frac{1}{2}\Delta g\varphi_j - \nabla_{\mathrm{grad}(g)}\varphi_j) \\ &= \lambda_j a_{ij} + 2b_{ij}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we get

$$2b_{ij} = (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)a_{ij} = -2b_{ji}. \tag{3.4}$$

From (2.6) and (3.3), one immediately has

$$D^2\psi_i = \Delta g\varphi_i - 2\nabla_{\mathrm{grad}(g)}\varphi_i + \lambda_i g\varphi_i - \sum_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_j a_{ij}\varphi_j,$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}(D^2\psi_i, \psi_i) &= (\Delta g\varphi_i - 2\nabla_{\mathrm{grad}(g)}\varphi_i + \lambda_i g\varphi_i - \sum_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_j a_{ij}\varphi_j, \psi_i) \\ &= (\Delta g\varphi_i - 2\nabla_{\mathrm{grad}(g)}\varphi_i, \psi_i) + \lambda_i\|\psi_i\|^2.\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

From the Rayleigh quotient, one gets

$$\lambda_{k+1} \le \frac{(D^2\psi_i, \psi_i)}{\|\psi_i\|^2}. \tag{3.6}$$

By (3.5), (3.6) can be written as

$$(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)\|\psi_i\|^2 \le (\Delta g\varphi_i - 2\nabla_{\mathrm{grad}(g)}\varphi_i, \psi_i). \tag{3.7}$$

But (2.6) yields

$$\begin{aligned}(\Delta g\varphi_i - 2\nabla_{\mathrm{grad}(g)}\varphi_i, \psi_i) &= (\Delta g\varphi_i - 2\nabla_{\mathrm{grad}(g)}\varphi_i, g\varphi_i) - \sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{ij}\left(D^2(g\varphi_i) - gD^2\varphi_i, \varphi_j\right) \\ &= (\Delta g\varphi_i - 2\nabla_{\mathrm{grad}(g)}\varphi_i, g\varphi_i) + \sum_{j=1}^{k}(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)a_{ij}^2.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)\|\psi_i\|^2 \le (\Delta g\varphi_i - 2\nabla_{\mathrm{grad}(g)}\varphi_i, g\varphi_i) + \sum_{j=1}^{k}(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)a_{ij}^2. \tag{3.8}$$

From Schwarz inequality and (3.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)\left[\Big(\Delta g\varphi_i - 2\nabla_{\mathrm{grad}(g)}\varphi_i, \psi_i\Big)\right]^2 \\ =&(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)\left[\left(\Delta g\varphi_i - 2\nabla_{\mathrm{grad}(g)}\varphi_i + 2\sum_{j=1}^{k} b_{ij}\varphi_j, \psi_i\right)\right]^2 \\ \le&(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)\|\psi_i\|^2\|\Delta g\varphi_i - 2\nabla_{\mathrm{grad}(g)}\varphi_i + 2\sum_{j=1}^{k} b_{ij}\varphi_j\|^2 \\ \le&\Big(\Delta g\varphi_i - 2\nabla_{\mathrm{grad}(g)}\varphi_i, \psi_i\Big)\|\Delta g\varphi_i - 2\nabla_{\mathrm{grad}(g)}\varphi_i + 2\sum_{j=1}^{k} b_{ij}\varphi_j\|^2.\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Multiplying (3.9) by $(\lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_i)$ and taking sum on i from 1 to k, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_i)^2\left(\Delta g\varphi_i-2\nabla_{\mathrm{grad}(g)}\varphi_i,g\varphi_i\right)+\sum_{i,j=1}^{k}(\lambda_i-\lambda_j)(\lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_i)^2a_{ij}^2\\ \leq\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_i)\|\Delta g\varphi_i-2\nabla_{\mathrm{grad}(g)}\varphi_i+2\sum_{j=1}^{k}b_{ij}\varphi_j\|^2.\end{aligned}\tag{3.10}$$

From the Eq. (2.4) in [1], we have

$$\left(\Delta g\varphi_i-2\nabla_{\mathrm{grad}(g)}\varphi_i,g\varphi_i\right)=\int|\mathrm{grad}g|^2|\varphi_i|^2.\tag{3.11}$$

Therefore (2.2) and (3.11) yield

$$\sum_{p=1}^{N}\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_i)^2\left(\Delta g\varphi_i-2\nabla_{\mathrm{grad}(g)}\varphi_i,g\varphi_i\right)=n\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_i)^2.\tag{3.12}$$

In order to complete the proof of theorem, we need the following Lemma:

Lemma 3.2. *With the above notations, then*

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{p=1}^{N}\|\Delta g\varphi_i-2\nabla_{grad(g)}\varphi_i+2\sum_{j=1}^{k}b_{ij}\varphi_j\|^2\\ &=n^2(H^2\varphi_i,\varphi_i)+4\lambda_i-4(\mathfrak{R}\varphi_i,\varphi_i)-4\sum_{p=1}^{N}\sum_{j=1}^{k}b_{ij}^2.\end{aligned}\tag{3.13}$$

Proof. By the *Lemma 2.9* in [1], a straightforward computation yields

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{p=1}^{N}\|\Delta g\varphi_i-2\nabla_{\mathrm{grad}(g)}\varphi_i+2\sum_{j=1}^{k}b_{ij}\varphi_j\|^2\\ &=\sum_{p=1}^{N}\|\Delta g\varphi_i-2\nabla_{\mathrm{grad}(g)}\varphi_i\|^2+4\sum_{p=1}^{N}\sum_{j=1}^{k}b_{ij}^2+4\sum_{p=1}^{N}\sum_{j=1}^{k}b_{ij}\left(\Delta g\varphi_i-2\nabla_{\mathrm{grad}(g)}\varphi_i,\varphi_j\right)\\ &=n^2(H^2\varphi_i,\varphi_i)+4\lambda_i-4(\mathfrak{R}\varphi_i,\varphi_i)+8\sum_{p=1}^{N}\sum_{j=1}^{k}b_{ij}b_{ji}+4\sum_{p=1}^{N}\sum_{j=1}^{k}b_{ij}^2\\ &=n^2(H^2\varphi_i,\varphi_i)+4\lambda_i-4(\mathfrak{R}\varphi_i,\varphi_i)-4\sum_{p=1}^{N}\sum_{j=1}^{k}b_{ij}^2.\end{aligned}$$

□

From (3.10), (3.12) and (3.13), one gets

$$
\begin{aligned}
n\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_i)^2+&\sum_{p=1}^{N}\sum_{i,j}^{k}(\lambda_i-\lambda_j)(\lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_i)^2a_{ij}^2\\
&\leq\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_i)\left[n^2(H^2\varphi_i,\varphi_i)-4(\mathfrak{R}\varphi_i,\varphi_i)\right]\\
&+4\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_i)\lambda_i-4\sum_{p=1}^{N}\sum_{i,j=1}^{k}(\lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_i)b_{ij}^2.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.14}
$$

From the relation (3.4), one can infer

$$\sum_{i,j}^{k}(\lambda_i-\lambda_j)(\lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_i)^2a_{ij}^2=-\sum_{i,j}^{k}(\lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_i)(\lambda_i-\lambda_j)^2a_{ij}^2=-4\sum_{i,j=1}^{k}(\lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_i)b_{ij}^2. \tag{3.15}$$

Finally, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
n\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_i)^2\leq&\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_i)\left[n^2(H^2\varphi_i,\varphi_i)-4(\mathfrak{R}\varphi_i,\varphi_i)\right]\\
&+4\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_i)\lambda_i.
\end{aligned}
$$

□

Remark 3.1. *Theorem 3.1* improve *Theorem 3.1* in [1], that is,

$$\lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_k\leq\frac{n}{k}\sum_{i=1}^{k}(H^2\varphi_i,\varphi_i)+\frac{4}{nk}\sum_{i=1}^{k}\lambda_i-\frac{4}{nk}\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\mathfrak{R}\varphi_i,\varphi_i).$$

From the *Theorem 3.1*, we also obtain Yang's second inequality.

Corollary 3.3. *Under the same assumptions in* Theorem 3.1, *then one has*

$$\eta_{k+1}\leq(1+\frac{4}{n})\frac{1}{k}\sum_{i=1}^{k}\eta_i. \tag{3.16}$$

From (2.4) and *Theorem 3.1*, we immediately deduce

Theorem 3.4. *Let M be an n-dimensional compact Riemannian spin manifold and $x: M\longrightarrow\mathbb{R}^N$ be isometrically embedded into $\mathbb{R}^N$. Let $(\lambda_i,\varphi_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ be the spectral resolution of the square of the Dirac operator D, i.e. $D^2\varphi_i=\lambda_i\varphi_i$. Order the eigenvalues in an increasing sequence*

$$0\leq\lambda_1\leq\lambda_2\leq\cdots\nearrow\infty.$$

Then one gets the Yang's first inequality

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k}\Big(\mu_{k+1}-\mu_i\Big)\Big(\mu_{k+1}-\Big(1+\frac{4}{n}\Big)\mu_i\Big)\leq 0 \tag{3.17}$$

and the Yang's second inequality

$$\mu_{k+1} \leq \left(1 + \frac{4}{n}\right) \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \mu_i, \tag{3.18}$$

where $\mu_i = \lambda_i + \frac{1}{4}(n^2 \sup H^2 - \inf S)$*,* H, S *are the mean curvature function and scalar curvature of* M *respectively.*

Remark 3.2. From (3.18), one can deduce the *Theorem 3.7* in [1]. That is, one can infer

$$\mu_{k+1} - \mu_k \leq \frac{4}{nk} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \mu_i.$$

If the isometric embedding $M \xrightarrow{x} \mathbb{S}^{N-1}(1) \xrightarrow{i} \mathbb{R}^N$ is the minimal embedding into the Euclidean unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{N-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, then the coordinate functions $x^1, x^2, \cdots, x^N$ are the eigenfunctions of the Laplacian with the eigenvalue n, and $\mathbf{H} = -n(x^1, x^2, \cdots, x^N)$ and the mean curvature function $H \equiv 1$. Thus in the case of minimally embeded submanifolds into Euclidean spheres, one gets Yang-type inequalities

Corollary 3.5. *Let* M *be a compact Riemannian spin manifold of dimension* n *and* $x = (x^1, \cdots, x^N) : M \xrightarrow{x} \mathbb{S}^{N-1}(1) \xrightarrow{i} \mathbb{R}^N$ *be the minimal embedding into the Euclidean unit sphere* $\mathbb{S}^{N-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$*. Let* D *be the Dirac operator of spinor bundle* $\$$ *over* M *and let* $\{\lambda_j; \varphi_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ *be a spectral resolution of* D^2*. Then one gets Yang's first inequality*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \Big(\nu_{k+1} - \nu_i\Big) \left(\nu_{k+1} - \Big(1 + \frac{4}{n}\Big)\nu_i\right) \leq 0 \tag{3.19}$$

and Yang's second inequality

$$\nu_{k+1} \leq \left(1 + \frac{4}{n}\right) \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \nu_i, \tag{3.20}$$

where $\nu_i = \lambda_i + \frac{1}{4}(n^2 - \inf_M S)$*,* S *is scalar curvature of* M*.*

4. LOWER BOUNDS

In this section, we'll show lower bounds of the eigenvalues for the Dirac operator.

Theorem 4.1. *Under the same assumptions and the notations as* Theorem 3.1*, one gets*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\eta_{i+1} - \eta_1) \leq 4\eta_1. \tag{4.1}$$

Proof. Since $\{\lambda_j; \varphi_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a spectral resolution of D^2, $\int <\varphi_i, \varphi_j>= \delta_{ij}$ implies $(\varphi_i, \varphi_j) = \delta_{ij}$, for $\forall\ i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$. First define the matrix $B = \Big((x^p\varphi_1, \varphi_{q+1})\Big)_{1\leq p,q\leq N}$. From the **QR**-factorization theorem, one gets $R = QB$, where $Q = (Q^p_q)$ is an orthogonal $N \times N$ matrix and R is real upper triangular matrix. That is,

$$(g^p\varphi_1, \varphi_{r+1}) = (Q^p_q x^q \varphi_1, \varphi_{r+1}) = Q^p_q (x^q\varphi_1, \varphi_{r+1}) = 0, \qquad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq r < p \leq N. \tag{4.2}$$

where $g^p = Q^p_q x^q$. Second define the test spinors

$$\Psi_p = g^p\varphi_1 - (g^p\varphi_1, \varphi_1)\varphi_1. \tag{4.3}$$

Then

$$(\Psi_p, \varphi_{r+1}) = 0, \qquad \text{for } 0 \le r < p.$$

On one hand, from Rayleigh quotient, we have

$$\lambda_{p+1} \le \frac{\|D^2\Psi_p\|^2}{\|\Psi_p\|^2}. \tag{4.4}$$

One the other hand, from (2.6), one gets

$$D^2\Psi_p = \Delta g^p\varphi_i - 2\nabla_{\mathrm{grad}(g^p)}\varphi_1 + \lambda_1(g^p\varphi_1, \varphi_1). \tag{4.5}$$

Therefore, (4.4) can be written as

$$(\lambda_{p+1} - \lambda_1)\|\Psi_p\|^2 \le (\Delta g^p\varphi_1 - 2\nabla_{\mathrm{grad}(g^p)}\varphi_1, \Psi_p). \tag{4.6}$$

From Schwarz inequality, we obtain

$$\Big(\Delta g^p\varphi_1 - 2\nabla_{\mathrm{grad}(g^p)}\varphi_1, \Psi_p\Big)^2 \le \|\Psi_p\|^2\|\Delta g^p\varphi_1 - 2\nabla_{\mathrm{grad}(g^p)}\varphi_1\|^2. \tag{4.7}$$

Multiplying (4.7) by $(\lambda_{p+1} - \lambda_1)$ and then by (4.6), one gets

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\lambda_{p+1} - \lambda_1)(\Delta g^p\varphi_1 - 2\nabla_{\mathrm{grad}(g^p)}\varphi_1, \Psi_p)^2 \\
&\qquad \le (\lambda_{p+1} - \lambda_1)\|\Psi_p\|^2\|\Delta g^p\varphi_1 - 2\nabla_{\mathrm{grad}(g^p)}\varphi_1\|^2 \\
&\qquad \le (\Delta g^p\varphi_1 - 2\nabla_{\mathrm{grad}(g^p)}\varphi_1, \Psi_p)\|\Delta g^p\varphi_1 - 2\nabla_{\mathrm{grad}(g^p)}\varphi_1\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$(\lambda_{p+1} - \lambda_1)(\Delta g^p\varphi_1 - 2\nabla_{\mathrm{grad}_{g^p}}\varphi_1, \Psi_p) \le \|\Delta g^p\varphi_1 - 2\nabla_{\mathrm{grad}(g^p)}\varphi_1\|^2. \tag{4.8}$$

By *Lemma 2.9* in [1] and by the definition of g^p, we have

$$\sum_{p=1}^{N}\|\Delta g^p\varphi_1 - 2\nabla_{\mathrm{grad}(g^p)}\varphi_1\|^2 = 4\lambda_1 + n^2(H^2\varphi_1, \varphi_1) - 4(\mathfrak{R}\varphi_1, \varphi_1). \tag{4.9}$$

From (2.4) in [1], one obtains

$$(\Delta g^p\varphi_1 - 2\nabla_{\mathrm{grad}(g^p)}\varphi_1, \Psi_p) = (\Delta g^p\varphi_1 - 2\nabla_{\mathrm{grad}(g^p)}\varphi_1, g^p\varphi_1) = (|\mathrm{grad}(g^p)|^2\varphi_1, \varphi_1). \tag{4.10}$$

Then we deduce

$$\sum_{p=1}^{N}(\lambda_{p+1} - \lambda_1)(|\mathrm{grad}(g^p)|^2\varphi_1, \varphi_1) \le 4\lambda_1 + n^2(H^2\varphi_1, \varphi_1) - 4(\mathfrak{R}\varphi_1, \varphi_1). \tag{4.11}$$

From (2.2) and $Q \in O(N)$, one infers

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{p=1}^{N}|\mathrm{grad}(g^p)|^2 &= \sum_{p=1}^{N}\sum_{i=1}^{n}|\nabla_i g^p|^2 = \sum_{p=1}^{N}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{q,r=1}^{N} Q_q^p Q_r^p(\nabla_i x^q, \nabla_i x^r)\\ &= \sum_{q,r=1}^{N}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\delta_{qr}(\nabla_i x^q, \nabla_i x^r)\\ &= \sum_{p=1}^{N}|\mathrm{grad}(x^p)|^2 = n.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (4.11) becomes

$$\sum_{p=1}^{N}\lambda_{p+1}(|\mathrm{grad}(g^p)|^2\varphi_1, \varphi_1) \le (4+n)\lambda_1 + n^2(H^2\varphi_1, \varphi_1) - 4(\mathfrak{R}\varphi_1, \varphi_1). \tag{4.12}$$

Let P be a point of M. It is possible to take a coordinate system $(\bar{x}^1, \cdots, \bar{x}^N)$ with origin P, $x = x(P) + \bar{x}A$, $A \in O(N)$ such that $T_PM = \mathrm{span}\{(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}^1})_P, \cdots, (\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}^n})_P\}$. In fact, we have

$$\nabla\bar{x}^{n+1} = \cdots = \nabla\bar{x}^N = 0, \qquad \nabla_i\bar{x}^j = \delta_i^j, \qquad (i, j = 1, \cdots, n). \tag{4.13}$$

From (4.13) and the matrices $Q, A \in O(N)$, one gets

$$\begin{aligned}|\mathrm{grad}(g^p)|^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\sum_{q,r=1}^{N} Q_q^p a_r^q \nabla_i \bar{x}^r\right|^2\\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\sum_{q=1}^{N} Q_q^p a_i^q\right|^2\\ &\le \sum_{r=1}^{N}\left|\sum_{q=1}^{N} Q_q^p a_r^q\right|^2\\ &= 1,\end{aligned}$$

since $\left(\sum_{q=1}^{N} Q_q^p a_r^q\right)_{1\le p,r\le N}$ is also an orthogonal matrix.

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{p=1}^{N} \lambda_{p+1}|\mathrm{grad}(g^p)|^2 &\geq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i+1}|\mathrm{grad}(g^i)|^2 + \lambda_{n+1} \sum_{\alpha=n+1}^{N} |\mathrm{grad}(g^\alpha)|^2 \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i+1}|\mathrm{grad}(g^i)|^2 + \lambda_{n+1}(n - \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\mathrm{grad}(g^i)|^2) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i+1}|\mathrm{grad}(g^i)|^2 + \lambda_{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n}(1 - |\mathrm{grad}(g^i)|^2) \qquad (4.14) \\
&\geq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i+1}|\mathrm{grad}(g^i)|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i+1}(1 - |\mathrm{grad}(g^i)|^2) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

A combination (4.12) with (4.14) yields Theorem 4.1. □

Obviously, *Theorem 4.1* implies

Theorem 4.2. *Under the same assumptions and the notations as* Theorem 3.4, *then one gets*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n}(\mu_{i+1} - \mu_1) \leq 4\mu_1. \qquad (4.15)$$

Corollary 4.3. *Under the same assumptions and the notations as* Corollary 3.5, *then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\nu_{k+1} - \nu_1) \leq 4\nu_1. \qquad (4.16)$$

Remark 4.1. For the eigenvalue problem (1.1), there exists the inequality[14]

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\xi_{i+1} - \xi_i) \leq 4\xi_1$$

where ξ_i is the i-st eigenvalue of the Laplacian.

From the *Theorem 3.1* in [8] , a combination *Theorem 3.4* with *Theorem 4.1* yields:

Theorem 4.4. *Under the same assumptions and notations as* Theorem 3.4, *then*
(1) for $n \geq 41$ *and* $k \geq 41$,

$$\mu_{k+1} \leq k^{2/n}\mu_1;$$

(2) for any n *and* k,

$$\mu_{k+1} \leq \left(1 + \frac{a(min\{n, k-1\})}{n}\right) k^{2/n}\mu_1,$$

where the bound of $a(m)$ *can be formulated as:*

$$\begin{cases} a(1) \le 2.64, \\ a(m) \le 2.2 - 4\log\left(1 + \dfrac{1}{50}(m-3)\right), \qquad \textit{for} \quad m \ge 2. \end{cases}$$

From the *Corollary 2.1* in [8] or [7], we can deduce the simple and clear inequality

$$\mu_{k+1} \le \left(1 + \frac{4}{n}\right) k^{\frac{2}{n}} \mu_1 \tag{4.17}$$

where μ_i is given in *Theorem 3.4*. From the *Lemma 1.12.6* in [10], (4.17) is a best possible estimate of μ_{k+1} in the sense of order.

Acknowledgments The author would like to express his gratitude to Professor X. Zhang and H.C. Yang for their encouragements, suggestions and support.

References

[1] N. Anghel, *Extrinsic upper bounds for eigenvalues of Dirac-type operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **117**, 501-509(1993).

[2] Mark S. Ashbaugh, *Isoperimetric and universal inequalities for eigenvalues*, in Spectral theory and geometry (Edinburgh,1998), E. B. Davies and Yu Safalov eds., London Math.Soc. Lecture Notes, vol. **273**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 95–139(1999).

[3] Mark S. Ashbaugh, *Universal eigenvalue bounds of Payne-Polya-Weinberger, Hile-Prottter, and H.C. Yang*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci. vol. **112**, 3-30(2002).

[4] C. Bär, *Extrinsic Bounds for Eigenvalues of the Dirac Operator*, Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom. **16**, 573-596(1998).

[5] H. Baum, *An upper bound for the first eigenvalue of the Dirac operator on compact spin manifolds*, Math. Z. **206**, 409-422(1991).

[6] U. Bunke, *Upper bounds of small eigenvalues of the Dirac operator and isometric immersions*, Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom.**9**, 109-116(1991).

[7] Q.M. Cheng, H.C. Yang, *Estimates on eigenvalues of Laplacian*, Math. Ann. **331**, 445–460(2005).

[8] Q. -M. Cheng and H. C. Yang, *Bounds on eigenvalues of Dirichlet Laplacian*, Math. Ann., **337**, 159-175(2007).

[9] T. Friedrich, Dirac operators in Riemannian geometry, Graduate Studies in Mathematics **25**, AMS, Providence, Rhode Island, 2000.

[10] P.B. Gilkey, Invariance theory, the heat equation, and the Atiyah-Singer index theorem. 2nd ed., Boca Raton: CRC Press, 1995.

[11] G.N. Hile, M.H. Protter, *Inequalities for eigenvalues of the Laplacian*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **29**, 523-538 (1980).

[12] P. Li, *Eigenvalue estimates on homogeneous manifolds*, Comment. Math. Helv. **55**, 347-363 (1980).

[13] H. Lawson, M. Michelsohn, Spin geometry, Princenton Univ. Press, 1989.

[14] G.E. Payne, G. Polya and H.F. Weinberger, *On the ration of consecutive eigenvalue*, J. Math. Phys. **35**, 289–298(1956).

[15] H.C. Yang, *An estimate of the differance between consecutive eigenvalues*, preprint IC/91/60 of ICTP,Trieste, 1991.

E-mail address: `chendg@amss.ac.cn`

Current address: Institute of Mathematics, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100080, P.R. China.